

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/673,048	JONES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876

All Participants:

Status of Application: after Quayle action

(1) Lisa M. Caputo.

(3) _____.

(2) Joel Meyer (Reg. No. 37,677).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2007

Time: 5:30 pm EST

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-35

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LISA CAPUTO
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon discussion with the attorney in order to obtain authorization for an examiner's amendment to the abstract for a minor informality, examiner discerned an issue with claims 33-35. Upon further discussion, a restriction was set forth by the examiner, to which the attorney provisionally elected over the phone to prosecute the claims of Group I, claims 1-32, which will be allowed. Attorney also authorized an examiner's amendment to cancel the claims of Group II, claims 33-35..